

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,803	08/04/2003	Leon K. Creech	CRL0001.US	7234	
7590 · 10/07/2004			EXAMINER		
Todd T. Taylor			GROSZ, ALEXANDER		
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C.					
142 S. Main St.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			3673		
Avilla, IN 46710			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/633,86	03	CREECH, LEON	K	\sim				
Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit						
	Alexander	Grosz	3673						
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) \bowtie Responsive to communication(s) filed on $8/403$									
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) \(-\frac{1}{2}\text{0}\) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) \(\begin{align*} \text{Claim(s)} & is/are allowed. \\ 6) \(\begin{align*} \text{Claim(s)} & is/are rejected. \\ 7) \(\begin{align*} \text{Claim(s)} & is/are objected to. \\ 8) \(\begin{align*} \text{Claim(s)} & \frac{1}{2}\text{0} \\ \text{are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.} \end{align*}									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the									
10) The drawing(s) filed on (34/03) is/are: a) accepted or b) to be by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09 04 03		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/633,803

Art Unit: 3673

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a fitted sheet, classified in class 5, subclass 497.

II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method of making a sheet, classified in class 5, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case such as omitting the <u>removing</u> the square corner of a rectangular sheet, and instead, stiching lateral strips to a sheet.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Taylor's office on 9/28/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Note the close prior art cited on attached PTO 892.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,803

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Alex Grosz at telephone number (703) 308-2498.

Grosz/vs September 30, 2004 ALEXANDER GROSZ PRIMARY EXAMINER